

Higher-Dimensional QCD without the Strong CP Problem

Izawa K.-I.^{1,2}, T. Watari¹ and T. Yanagida^{1,2}

¹*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo,
Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

²*Research Center for the Early Universe, University of Tokyo,
Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

Abstract

QCD in a five-dimensional sliced bulk with chiral extra-quarks on the boundaries is generically free from the strong CP problem. Accidental axial symmetry is naturally present except for suppressed breaking interactions, which plays a role of the Peccei-Quinn symmetry to make the strong CP phase sufficiently small.

1 Introduction

The standard model of elementary particles has two apparent fine-tuning problems which are hard to be undertaken directly by additional (gauge) symmetries: the cosmological constant and the strong CP [1] problems. The presence of extra dimensions might serve as an alternative to symmetry in obtaining such fine-tuned parameters in a natural way.

In this paper, as a concrete example, we consider QCD in a five-dimensional sliced bulk with chiral extra-quarks on the boundaries and point out that it is generically free from the strong CP problem.

For definiteness, let us suppose that there is a pair of extra-quarks in addition to the standard-model quarks: a left-handed colored fermion ψ_L and a right-handed one ψ_R . We assume an extra-dimensional space which separates them from each other along the extra dimension. If the distance between them is sufficiently large, the theory possesses an axial U(1) symmetry

$$\psi_L \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}\psi_L, \quad \psi_R \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha}\psi_R \quad (1)$$

approximately, whose breaking is suppressed at a fundamental scale. This accidental global symmetry, which is actually broken by a QCD anomaly, plays a role of the Peccei-Quinn symmetry [2], making the effective strong CP phase to be sufficiently small.

The point is that the presence of such an approximate symmetry is not an artificial requirement, but a natural result stemming from the higher-dimensional space, which might well be stable even against possible quantum gravitational corrections. Note that axion models in the four-dimensional spacetime suffer from a fine-tuning problem: operators that break the axial symmetry should be highly suppressed without any dynamical reasons. The present model provides a solution to this problem.

2 Bulk color gauge theory

Let us consider a four-dimensional Minkowski spacetime M_4 along with one-dimensional extra-space S^1 , whose coordinate y extends from $-l$ to l (that is, two points at $y = l$ and $y = -l$ are identified). The $SU(3)_C$ gauge field is assumed to propagate on the whole

spacetime $M_4 \times S^1$. The action of the five-dimensional gauge field is given by

$$S_A = \int d^4x \int_{-l}^l dy \frac{M_*}{4g^2(y)} \text{tr}(F_{MN}F^{MN}) + \int h(y) \text{tr} \left(AF^2 - \frac{1}{2}A^3F + \frac{1}{10}A^5 \right), \quad (2)$$

where $F_{MN} = \partial_M A_N - \partial_N A_M + [A_M, A_N]$ ($M, N = 0, \dots, 4; x^4 \equiv y$), $A = A_M dx^M$, and $F = dA + A^2 = (1/2)F_{MN}dx^M dx^N$. Here, M_* is supposed to be a cutoff scale in the higher-dimensional gauge theory and $g(y)$ and $h(y)$ are gauge and Chern-Simons coupling functions, respectively.

Kaluza-Klein reduction to the four-dimensional spacetime, however, yields a massless color-octet scalar which is undesirable in the low-energy spectrum. Hence we consider an $S^1/\mathbf{Z}_2$ orbifold instead of the S^1 . The five-dimensional gauge field $A_M(x, y)$ is now under a constraint

$$A_\mu(x, y) = A_\mu(x, -y), \quad A_4(x, y) = -A_4(x, -y), \quad (3)$$

where $\mu = 0, \dots, 3$. Then, we have only a vector field at low energies without the scalar field after the Kaluza-Klein reduction. In order to define the theory on the orbifold consistently, the action eq.(2) on the S^1 should be invariant under the $\mathbf{Z}_2$ transformation. This invariance is achieved as long as $g(y) = g(-y)$ and $h(y) = -h(-y)$. We take the $g(y)$ to be y -independent and the $h(y)$ as

$$h(y) = c \frac{y}{|y|}, \quad (4)$$

where c is a constant to be determined in the next section. The gauge symmetries are left unbroken with the infinitesimal $\text{SU}(3)_C$ gauge transformation parameter restricted to satisfy $\varepsilon(x, y) = \varepsilon(x, -y)$.

3 Boundary extra-quarks

There are two fixed points in the $S^1/\mathbf{Z}_2$ orbifold: $y = 0$ and $y = l$. Let us put chiral extra-quarks¹ on the fixed-point boundaries: ² a left-handed extra-quark ψ_L at $y = 0$ and

¹ Instead of boundary extra-quarks, we may introduce vector-like extra-quarks in the bulk with a domain wall background, which results in a similar separation of chiral extra-quarks along the extra dimension.

² The standard-model quarks may be put on one of the fixed points, which does not alter the point in the following discussion.

a right-handed extra-quark ψ_R at $y = l$. The action of the extra-quarks contains

$$S_\psi = \int_{y=0} d^4x \bar{\psi}_L i \not{D} \psi_L + \int_{y=l} d^4x \bar{\psi}_R i \not{D} \psi_R. \quad (5)$$

Under an infinitesimal gauge transformation, this fermionic sector provides a gauge anomaly

$$\delta S_{eff} = \frac{i}{24\pi^2} \int_{y=0} \text{tr} \left(\varepsilon d(AdA + \frac{1}{2}A^3) \right) - \frac{i}{24\pi^2} \int_{y=l} \text{tr} \left(\varepsilon d(AdA + \frac{1}{2}A^3) \right) \quad (6)$$

due to its chirality, though the fermion content is vector-like from a four-dimensional perspective.

On the other hand, the bulk action yields

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_A &= \int h(y) \text{tr} \left((d\varepsilon) d(AdA + \frac{1}{2}A^3) \right) \\ &= - \int (dh(y)) \text{tr} \left(\varepsilon d(AdA + \frac{1}{2}A^3) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

under the gauge transformation. Gauge anomaly cancellation with the fermionic sector implies

$$c = \frac{i}{48\pi^2}. \quad (8)$$

4 Anomalous quasi-symmetry

The gauge-invariant theory is given by the total action $S = S_A + S_\psi$. Then, there is an approximate axial U(1) symmetry given by eq.(1). Indeed, interactions between the chiral extra-quarks (in the four-dimensional effective theory) such as

$$M_* \psi_L \psi_R^\dagger + \text{h.c.} \quad (9)$$

are suppressed by $e^{-M_* l}/(M_* l)$ with M_* as the cutoff scale at which new particles and interactions may arise (see also the discussion in the final section).

The extra-quarks should be decoupled from the low-energy spectrum to escape from detection. Thus, we introduce hypercolor gauge interactions in the bulk to confine the extra-quarks at high energies. Such new gauge interactions at the same time induce a chiral condensate $\langle \psi_L \psi_R^\dagger \rangle$, break down the axial U(1) symmetry [1] and provide a

corresponding Nambu-Goldstone (NG) boson called an axion [3]. Non-vanishing anomaly $U(1)[SU(3)_C]^2$ induces a potential of the axion field.

Let us adopt $SU(3)_H$ as the hypercolor gauge group and assume that the chiral fermions on each boundary transform as $\psi_L(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^*)$ and $\psi_R(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^*)$ under the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_H$ gauge group.³ The $SU(3)_H$ interactions are supposed to be strong and confining at an intermediate scale F_a , and a chiral condensate $\langle \psi_L \psi_R^\dagger \rangle \simeq F_a^3$ develops. Note that the $[SU(3)_H]^3$ anomaly due to the fermionic sector can also be canceled by bulk Chern-Simons terms in a similar way as in the previous section.

$SU(3)_H$ -charged particles are confined and what is left at low energies are only massless NG bosons. When one switches off the $SU(3)_C$ gauge interactions (to concentrate on the strong dynamics of the $SU(3)_H$ interactions), then there is $U(3)_L \times U(3)_R$ flavor symmetry that acts on ψ_L and $\psi_R^\dagger$, where the $SU(3)$ subgroup of the diagonal $U(3)$ symmetry is actually gauged as $SU(3)_C$. The flavor symmetry $U(3)_L \times U(3)_R$ is spontaneously broken down to the diagonal $U(3)$ symmetry. The NG bosons due to this chiral symmetry breaking transform as $\mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3}^* = \mathbf{adj.} + \mathbf{1}$ under the $SU(3)_C$. However, there is not $U(3)_L \times U(3)_R$ symmetry actually since the diagonal $SU(3)$ is gauged as the $SU(3)_C$ gauge group, and hence the adjoint-part of the NG bosons acquires masses due to the $SU(3)_C$ radiative corrections. What remains massless is only the color-singlet NG boson, which corresponds to the axial $U(1)$ symmetry in eq.(1).

The axial symmetry discussed above, however, also has $U(1)[SU(3)_H]^2$ anomaly. Therefore, the color-singlet NG boson obtains a large mass and it cannot play a role of the axion for the color $SU(3)_C$. Hence we further introduce an additional pair of chiral fermions on each boundary: $\chi_L(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}^*)$ at $y = 0$ and $\chi_R(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}^*)$ at $y = l$. The global symmetry is now $U(4)_L \times U(4)_R$ if the $SU(3)_C$ gauge interactions are neglected. The strong dynamics of the $SU(3)_H$ gauge interactions leads to spontaneous breakdown of the chiral symmetry, $\langle \psi_L \psi_R^\dagger \rangle \simeq F_a^3$ and $\langle \chi_L \chi_R^\dagger \rangle \simeq F_a^3$. Two color-singlets would remain massless if it were not for anomalies. In this case, one of them does play a role of the axion that makes the effective strong CP phase to be sufficiently small.

³ Extensions to larger gauge groups and fermion representations are straightforward, which are touched upon in the final section.

5 Discussion

The accidental chiral symmetry discussed above is broken by effective operators of the chiral fermions. The operators involving both ‘ ψ_L or χ_L ’ and ‘ $\psi_R^\dagger$ or $\chi_R^\dagger$ ’, such as eq.(9), are highly suppressed.⁴ However, the operators involving either ‘ ψ_L and χ_L ’ or ‘ $\psi_R^\dagger$ and $\chi_R^\dagger$ ’, are expected to be suppressed only by powers of $1/M_*$. Thus, such operators induce an additional potential of the axion, but this correction is not too large to render the Peccei-Quinn mechanism ineffective: Axial-symmetry breaking on each boundary may have coupling coefficients of order one. Such operators of the lowest mass dimension⁵ are given by

$$\int_{y=0} d^4x \frac{1}{M_*^5} (\psi_L)^3 (\psi_L)^3 + \int_{y=l} d^4x \frac{1}{M_*^5} (\psi_R^\dagger)^3 (\psi_R^\dagger)^3 + \text{h.c.} \quad (10)$$

Integration of heavy particles of masses of order F_a induces an additional potential of the axion field a as

$$V(a) \simeq \frac{F_a^{14}}{M_*^{10}} f\left(\frac{a}{F_a}\right), \quad (11)$$

where $f(a/F_a)$ is a function of a/F_a , whose minimum is generically different from that of the potential induced exclusively by the QCD effects. The resulting shift in the θ_{eff} parameter of the QCD is expected to be of order

$$\theta_{\text{eff}} \simeq \left(\frac{F_a}{M_*}\right)^{14} \left(\frac{M_*}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}\right)^4 = 10^{-10} \left(\frac{F_a 10^5}{M_*}\right)^{14} \left(\frac{M_*}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} 10^{15}}\right)^4. \quad (12)$$

The present experimental bound $\theta_{\text{eff}} < 10^{-9}$ is satisfied, for instance, with $M_* \simeq 10^{18} \text{GeV}$ and $F_a \leq 10^{12} \text{GeV}$, which is phenomenologically viable.

We note that the axial-symmetry breaking operators on each boundary can be made to have higher mass dimensions if we adopt a larger hypercolor gauge group instead of the $\text{SU}(3)_H$. Then, the θ_{eff} will be more suppressed.

⁴ $M_* l \simeq 150 + 3 \ln(F_a/10^{12} \text{GeV})$ is sufficient.

⁵ Discussion here assumes that the extra-quarks are not charged under the $\text{SU}(2)_L$ or $\text{U}(1)_Y$ gauge group of the standard model, though we can also consider a model where they are charged under the $\text{SU}(2)_L$ or $\text{U}(1)_Y$.

acknowledgment

T.W. would like to thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for financial support. This work was partially supported by “Priority Area: Supersymmetry and Unified Theory of Elementary Particles (# 707)” (T.Y.).

References

- [1] J.E. Kim, Phys. Rep. **150** (1987) 1;
H.-Y. Cheng, Phys. Rep. **158** (1988) 1.
- [2] R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 1440; Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977) 1791.
- [3] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40** (1978) 223;
F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40** (1978) 279.